

Participatory identification and evaluation of sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench) landraces from lower eastern Kenya

¹Catherine W. Muui*, ²Reuben M. Muasya and ¹Duncan T. Kirubi

¹Department of Agricultural Science and Technology, Kenyatta University, P.O. Box 43844-00100, Nairobi, Kenya.

²Department of Dry Land Agriculture, School of Agriculture and Veterinary Sciences, South Eastern Kenya University, P.O. Box 170-90200, Kitui, Kenya.

ABSTRACT

Eastern Province is a major sorghum growing zone in Kenya. There exist different landraces of sorghum that are not yet known. The landraces continue to be maintained by cultural preferences and traditional practices by the farmers. Germplasm collection was done in the major sorghum growing agro ecological zones in eastern province of Kenya as follows; Mbeere in LM₃, LM₄; Makueni in LM₅, LM₆; Kitui in LM₃, LM₄, LM₅; and Mutomo in LM₄, LM₅. The germplasm was collected separately from 120 randomly sampled farmers. At time of collection information on traits preferred and grain use was recorded for each accession. The seed color/name, sample status, region and agro-ecological zone were used to identify the different landraces. Forty four different accessions were collected from different farmers in the region. Data was analyzed using PROC GLIMMIX model of the Statistical Analysis Systems software (SAS Institute, 2005). Parameters studied were expressed as percentages, Analysis of Variance was performed, and Least Significant Differences used for separation of means at 0.05 level of confidence. Mbeere region had the most landraces available with diverse colorations to Kitui, Mutomo and Makueni. The landraces are unique in their adaptation, food quality, grain yield, quality of harvested products, biotic stress resistance and post-harvest processing. These untapped resources could be useful in crop improvement programmes and in food security. The decline in use of the landraces may erode the genetic base and prevent use of distinctive traits in crop adaptation and improvement.

Keywords: Diversity, grain use, landraces, sorghum, traits

INTRODUCTION

Sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench) is one of the most important cereal crops in the semi-arid tropics (SAT) (Rohrbach *et al.*, 2002; Meeske *et al.*, 1993). The crop was domesticated and diversified in Africa before moving to other parts of the world (Dogget, 1988) and continues to play an important food security role in Africa. Since sorghum originated in Africa, it is uniquely adapted to Africa's climate, being both drought resistant and able to withstand periods of water-logging (Kimber, 2000; Meeske *et al.*, 1993). In Kenya, sorghum is an important food crop and dietary staple in the country's arid and

semi-arid lands which account for over 80 percent of the total land area (Food and Agriculture Organization, 2008).

The wide distribution of the major cultivated races of the crop in Africa has been reported (DeWet and Harlan, 1971). However, this natural genetic diversity is subjected to a range of threats from natural selection and destruction of habitats and often merely expedient agricultural practices. Landraces of sorghum from the centers of diversity have been rich sources of resistance to new pathogens, insect pests and other stresses such

as high temperature and drought, as well as sources of traits to improve food and fodder quality, animal feed and industrial products (Rosenow and Dalhberg, 2000). A wide diversity of sorghum landraces is cultivated under equally diverse agro-climatic conditions and practices by subsistence farmers in eastern province of Kenya (Mutegei *et al.*, 2010; Jaetzold *et al.*, 2006). The sorghum landraces continue to be maintained by cultural preferences and traditional practices by the farmers.

Sorghum is important for its diverse germplasm (Menz *et al.*, 2004), adaptation to drought (Doggett, 1988) and its various grain use in households (Swigonova *et al.*, 2004; Kellogg, 2001). However, the crop has been neglected due to the perception as food for the poor (Engle and Altoveros, 2000). These species of crops seemingly regarded to be of lower potential are actually an untapped natural resource that when properly harnessed can result in a decreased rate of degradation of environment. They may lead to sustainable production systems, provide diversity in diet and supply deficient micronutrients, provide extra income for farmers, and prevent the loss of genetic diversity (Javier and Foreward, 1993).

Sorghum is one of the crop species that could play an important role in the food security, income generation and food culture of the rural poor in Kenya (Engle and Altoveros, 2000). There is less attention paid to sorghum production especially the popular landraces grown by farmers. Their potential value is under-estimated and under-exploited. It also places them in danger of continued genetic erosion and disappearance. The decline of use of these landraces may erode the genetic base and prevent the use of distinctive useful traits in crop adaptation and improvement. Plant genetic resources play an important role in generating new crop varieties with the high yield potential and resistance to biotic and abiotic stresses (Sajid *et al.*, 2008). The germplasm of most crops collected from the local sources provides greater genetic variability and can furnish useful traits to broaden the genetic base of the under-utilized crop species. Food and Agriculture Organization (1996) recognises the need to conserve indigenous species of different crops. Most indigenous food crops are threatened by rapid adoption of highly improved crop varieties many of which are introduced and poorly adapted. Together with genetic resources, indigenous knowledge associated with the cultivation, utilisation and conservation of indigenous crops is also endangered. Unless something is done to conserve and re-popularise their use, this natural resource may be lost forever. Genetic erosion occurs mainly through cross pollination of plants from same variety, different varieties and wild relatives (Johnson *et al.*, 2004; Hall *et al.*, 2000). The purpose of this work was to identify different sorghum landraces still kept by farmers in different agro ecological zones in Kitui, Mbeere, Makueni and Mutomo districts of

eastern Kenya; establish the variability based on grain color, traits preferred and the grain use for each landrace.

METHODOLOGY

Collection of landraces was done in 2010-2011 in the major sorghum growing agro ecological zones in eastern province of Kenya as follows; Mbeere in LM₃, LM₄; Makueni in LM₅, LM₆; Kitui in LM₃, LM₄, LM₅; and Mutomo in LM₄, LM₅ (Jaetzold *et al.*, 2006). Eastern Province extend between 38° 15' E and 39° 30' E as well as 1° N and 3° S. The regions range from Zone IV (Semi Humid to Semi Arid) to Zone V (Semi Arid) (Jaetzold and Schmidt, 1983). The Mbeere and Kitui sites are classified as Lower Midland (LM) with some regions in transitional zone towards Upper Midland (UM). Makueni and Mutomo sites are classified as Lower Midland (LM) (Jaetzold *et al.*, 2006).

Mbeere region where landraces were collected receives an annual rainfall ranging from 800-1000mm and an altitude of 840-1189 meters above the sea level (Jaetzold *et al.*, 2006). Makueni region receives an annual rainfall ranging from 600-800mm and an altitude of 914-1600 meters above the sea level. Kitui receives an annual rainfall ranging from 600-1181mm and an altitude of 1036-1115 meters above the sea level while Mutomo receives 500-700mm annual rainfall and an altitude of 914 meters above the sea level (Jaetzold *et al.*, 2006).

The collection was done from farmers based on information gathered in an earlier baseline survey. Landraces were collected separately from 120 randomly sampled farmers. Passport data, grain utilization and traits preferred by farmers were recorded for each accession. Also, the color of the seeds was recorded using Munsell color chart for plant tissues (Anonymous, 1972). The germplasm was reserved for use in morphological and molecular characterization to enable elaborate evaluation of diversity for documentation and crop improvement program.

Data was analyzed using PROC GLIMMIX model of the Statistical Analysis Systems software (SAS Institute, 2005). Parameters studied were expressed as percentages, Analysis of Variance was performed, and Least Significant Differences used for separation of means at 0.05 level of confidence.

RESULTS

The color of the landraces varied considerably between and within the germplasm (Table 1). The landraces which were white in color occurred in the four districts while dirty white (5RP 8/2) occurred only in Kitui and Makueni. Brown and brown white types were available in Kitui, Mutomo and Makueni while dark brown and brown red

Table 1. Frequency occurrence based on color of landraces collected from Kitui, Mutomo, Makueni and Mbeere districts in eastern Kenya

Landrace	Seed color code	Kitui	Mutomo	Makueni	Mbeere	Total
White	-	2	1	1	1	5
Dirty white	5RP 8/2	1	0	1	0	2
Brown white	2.5 YR 7/4	0	1	0	0	5
	10 R 7/4	1	0	0	0	
	7.5 YR 7/4	0	0	1	0	
	2.5 Y 8/4	0	0	1	0	
	5 YR 6/6	1	0	0	0	
Brown	2.5 YR 7/6	0	1	1	0	7
	5 YR 7/4	2	0	1	0	
	5 YR 6/6	1	0	0	0	
	7.5 YR 8/4	0	0	1	0	
Dark brown	5 YR 5/6	1	0	0	0	1
Brown red	5 R 6/8;7/4	1	0	0	0	1
Red	5 R 7/8	3	0	2	0	8
	2.5 YR 7/8	0	0	1	0	
	5 R 4/6	0	0	0	1	
	5 R 5/6	0	0	0	1	
Black red	10 R 3/4	0	1	0	0	1
Purple	5 RP 5/2	0	0	0	1	4
	5 RP 6/2	0	0	0	3	
Purple pink	5 RP 5/2	0	0	0	1	4
	5 RP 6/2	0	0	0	1	
	5 R 6/4	0	0	0	1	
	5 RP 7/2	0	0	0	1	
	5 RP 8/2	0	0	0	1	1
Pink brown	10 R 6/4	0	0	0	1	2
Mixture of purple, pink,white	2.5 YR 6/4	0	0	0	1	
	5 R 6/2	0	0	0	1	2
	5 RP 7/2	0	0	0	1	
Mixture of purple, pink, white, brown	5 R 6/2;7/2	0	0	0	1	1
TOTAL		12	4	11	17	44

types occurred in Kitui only. However, the brown germplasm had four different types based on the color while brown white had five different types (Table 1). Brown red found at Kitui occurred as a mixer of two color codes (5R 6/8 and 7/4) (Table 1). The red type was present in all districts except Mutomo which had a unique black red type. The red germplasm had four different color codes. Purple, purple pink, cream pink, pink brown, mixture of purple, pink, white, and mixture of purple, pink, white, brown occurred only in Mbeere. The purple germplasm had two different color codes while purple pink germplasm had four different codes. Pink brown germplasm had two codes while the mixed germplasm with purple, pink and white had two different codes (Table 1).

Mbeere region had the highest percentage number of landraces with 38.6%, followed by Kitui with 27.3%,

Makueni with 25% while Mutomo had the lowest percentage of 9.1% (Table 2). The results showed that landraces with brown color schemes were more with 18.2%, followed by white color schemes with 13.6%, brown white and red color schemes with 11.4%. Purple and purple pink had a percentage of 9.1% respectively, followed by pink brown and mixture of purple, pink, white with 4.5% and finally dirty white, dark brown, brown red, black red, cream pink and mixture of purple, pink, white, brown with 2.3% (Table 2).

Percentage of farmers preferring drought resistance in sorghum planted was only reported in Mbeere with 30% (Table 3). Farmers preferring resistance to other pests were only in Mbeere with 30% while none were reported in the other three regions. Kitui had the highest percentage of farmers preferring resistance to birds with 27% followed by Mbeere with 3%. Percentage of farmers

Table 2. Percentage landraces collected from Kitui, Mutomo, Makueni and Mbeere districts in eastern Kenya

Landraces	Kitui	Mutomo	Makueni	Mbeere	Total	LSD ($P=0.05$)
White	6.8a	2.3b	2.3b	2.3b	13.6	4.0
Dirty white	0.0a	0.0a	2.3b	0.0a	2.3	1.5
Brown white	4.5a	2.3b	4.5a	0.0c	11.4	2.0
Brown	6.8a	2.3b	9.1a	0.0b	18.2	3.6
Dark brown	2.3a	0.0b	0.0b	0.0b	2.3	2.0
Brown red	2.3a	0.0b	0.0b	0.0b	2.3	1.7
Red	4.5a	0.0b	6.8b	4.5a	11.4	2.1
Black red	0.0a	2.3a	0.0a	0.0a	2.3	1.7
Purple	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a	9.1b	9.1	1.0
Purple pink	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a	9.1b	9.1	2.8
Cream pink	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a	2.3b	2.3	1.5
Pink brown	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a	4.5b	4.5	3.9
Mixture of purple, pink, white	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a	4.5b	4.5	2.3
Mixture of purple, pink, white, brown	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a	2.3b	2.3	1.1
TOTAL	27.3	9.1	25	38.6	100%	

*Any two means having a common letter within a row are not significantly different at 5% level of significance according to the LSD test

Table 3. Percentage means of preferred traits in sorghum landraces grown by farmers in four districts of eastern Kenya

Percentage of farmers									
	Drought Resistance	Pest Resistance	Bird Resistance	Early Maturity	Good Taste	High Yield	Plant Vigor	Ease of Cleaning	All Traits
Kitui	0a	0a	27a	33a	10a	20a	0a	7a	20a
Mbeere	30b	3b	3b	30a	40b	20a	0a	0b	7b
Makueni	0a	0a	0b	0b	0c	63b	20b	0b	0b
Mutomo	0a	0a	0b	0b	7a	73b	0a	0b	20a
LSD ($P=0.05$)	19	1	11	7	15	24	3	2	5

*Any two means having a common letter within a column are not significantly different at 5% level of significance according to the LSD test

preferring early maturing sorghum varieties was highest in Kitui (33%). Most varieties take two to four months to maturity with only a few taking six to twelve months. Landraces with good taste were more preferred in Mbeere with 40%. High yielding varieties were preferred in the four regions with Mutomo having the highest percentage (73%). Varieties high in vigour were only preferred in Makueni with 20% while those known to be easy in cleaning were preferred only in Kitui with 7% (Table 3).

White germplasm was dominant in all agro ecological zones while brown white and red occurred in zones LM₃, 4, 5 (Table 4). Brown occurred in LM₄, 5, 6; red brown and black red occurred in LM₃ and LM₄ respectively. Cream pink, purple, purple pink, maroon and pink brown dominated LM₃ and LM₄. Traits preferred by farmers in landraces grown were high yields, high vigor, good taste, ease in cleaning, resistance to drought, early maturing, resistance to pests and diseases as shown in Table 4.

Farmers prefer landraces that are white and brown white for porridge, *ugali* (thick porridge), *pilau*, *muthura* (mixture of sorghum and legume grains) and for baking (Table 4). Cream pink is mainly used for porridge, *ugali*, *pilau*, baking and in fermentation of traditional porridge and brews while red and red brown are preferred for making porridge, *muthura* and for fermentation of traditional porridge and brews. Black red, purple, pink brown, maroon and pink brown are used in preparation of *muthura* and in brews.

DISCUSSION

Mbeere district had the most landraces compared to the three districts. Landraces that were purple, purple pink, cream pink, pink brown, mixture of purple, pink, white and mixture of purple, pink, white and brown dominated Mbeere and were not found in the other regions.

Table 4. Preferred traits and grain use of landraces grown by farmers in different agro-ecological zones in four districts of eastern Kenya

No.	Color/name	Source	AEZ	Status	Traits preferred by farmers								Grain use					
					DR	PR	BR	EM	GT	HY	Vig	EC	Fer	Por	Ug	Pil	Mu	Bak
1	White mweruba 1	kw	LM ₄	LR	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+
2	White	kw	LM ₅	LR	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+
3	Whitebrown	kw	LM ₄	LR	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+
4	Brown 1	kw	LM ₄	LR	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+
5	Brown 2	kw	LM ₅	LR	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+
6	Brown 3	kw	LM ₅	LR	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+
7	Brown	kc	LM ₃	LR	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+
8	Red 1	kc	LM ₃	LR	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-
9	White	kc	LM ₃	LR	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+
10	Brownwhite 1	kc	LM ₃	LR	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
11	Brownwhite 2	kc	LM ₃	LR	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
12	Red 2	kc	LM ₃	LR	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-
13	Brownred	kc	LM ₃	LR	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-
14	Blackred	mu	LM ₄	LR	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	-
15	Brownwhite	mu	LM ₄	LR	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
16	Brown	mu	LM ₅	LR	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+
17	White	mu	LM ₅	LR	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+
18	Creampink gatengu	mb k	LM ₄	LR	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+
19	Purple	mb k	LM ₄	LR	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-
20	Pinkbrown mwitia	mb k	LM ₄	LR	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	-
21	maroon ciakiondo	mb k	LM ₄	LR	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	-
22	Purple karuge 1	mb k	LM ₄	LR	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	-
23	Purple karuge 2	mb k	LM ₄	LR	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	-
24	Purplepink local B	mb k	LM ₄	LR	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	-
25	Purplepink local A	mb k	LM ₄	LR	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	-
26	Purple thiriku	mb k	LM ₄	LR	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	-
27	Purple mubaku	mb k	LM ₄	LR	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	-
28	Purple thiriku 2	mb k	LM ₄	LR	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	-
29	Pink brown muthiriku	mb k	LM ₄	LR	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	-
30	White	mb s	LM ₃	LR	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+
31	Pinkpurple thiriku	mb s	LM ₃	LR	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	-
32	Purple	mb s	LM ₃	LR	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-

Table 4 continue

33	Redbrown	mb s	LM ₃	LR	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-
34	Red	mb i	LM ₄	LR	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-
35	White	mb i	LM ₄	LR	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+
36	White	m ma	LM ₅	LR	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+
37	Red	m ma	LM ₅	LR	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-
38	Brown	m ma	LM ₅	LR	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+
39	Brown	m kz	LM ₅	LR	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+
40	Red	m kz	LM ₅	LR	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-
41	Brownwhite	m kz	LM ₅	LR	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
42	White	m kib	LM ₆	LR	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+
43	Red	m kib	LM ₅	LR	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-
44	Brown	m kib	LM ₆	LR	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+

DR= drought resistance PR= pest resistance BR= bird resistance EM= early maturity GT= good taste HY= high yield Vig= vigour EC= ease of cleaning LR= landrace + = preferred- = not preferred kw= kitui west kc= kitui central mu= mutomo mb k= mbeere kiritiri mb s= mbeere siakago mb i= mbeere ishiara m ma= makueni makindu m kz= makueni kibwezi m kib= makueni kiboko Fer= fermentation Por= porridge Ug= ugali Pil= pilau Mu= muthura (traditional dish) Bak= baking

Landraces with brown color schemes were the majority though not found in mbeere followed with those with white color scheme which occurred in all the districts. The fact that Mbeere district had the most landraces available with diverse colorations is a clear indication of a possibility of early existence of crop-wild-weed complex of sorghum in this particular region compared to Kitui, Mutomo and Makueni. In earlier studies, sorghums with diverse morpho-types have been reported in many of the sorghum growing regions of Africa, often as indistinct races of *S. bicolor* that form a crop-wild-weed complex (Ejeta and Grenier, 2005; de Wet, 1978). Other studies indicate that

morphological diversity of sorghum in areas of origin occur (Clayton and Renvoize, 1982).

In this study, clusters of mixed landraces appeared to occur in different eco- zones while others were well spread across the region. Similar findings were reported in Zambia and Tanzania which was attributed to close distances between regions and farmers selection for specific uses (Tulole *et al.*, 2009). Agro ecological zone LM₃ and LM₄ had all landraces except black red and brown; and red brown respectively. LM₅ was dominated with white, brown white, red and brown. White and brown occurred in zone LM₆. A wide range of sorghum landraces are cultivated under diverse agro climatic

conditions in Africa (Mutegi *et al.*, 2010). A continuum of wild-weedy-domesticated complex has been reported to occur in many sorghum growing parts of Africa (Mutegi *et al.*, 2010; Tesso *et al.*, 2008; Dogget, 1988; Dogget and Majisu, 1968). Moreover, cultivated and wild sorghum occupy diverse ecological landscapes and have over the years been subjected to diverse biotic and abiotic selection pressures across their geographic range. Wide spread of variation across the different climatic zones is therefore expected in the landraces of cultivated sorghum in Africa (Mutegi *et al.*, 2010).

The color of the grain varied considerably

within the sorghum landraces obtained. The variation within an accession indicated mixtures of materials planted by the farmers. An earlier study conducted by Kenya Food Security Steering Group (2008) showed that, only 10% of farmers use certified seed for other crops while 90% relied on locally selected seeds. A study conducted by Muui *et al* (2013), indicated that farmers obtain planting seed either from a previous harvest, borrow from neighbors or buy from the market; do not separate seed crop from the grain crop and harvest the crop together resulting to mixed crop stands. Seed exchange among farmers could be a contributing factor to high variation among sorghum landraces (Tulole *et al*, 2009). A study conducted by Nathaniels and Mwijage (2000) revealed sorghum seed exchange among farmers in southern Tanzania as one of the sources of planting seeds. In Zambia, Gwanama and Nichterlein (1995) found the existence of seed exchange among farmers was about 40 %.

Traits preferred by the farmers in landraces grown were high yields, high vigor, good taste, ease in cleaning, resistance to drought, early maturing, resistance to birds and other pests. Landraces with high yields were preferred by farmers in the four districts while those resistant to drought and pests were only preferred in Mbeere. Those preferred for bird resistance and early maturity were most preferred in Kitui and Mbeere while good taste was preferred in all districts except Makueni. The quality of a variety to be used as food largely determines its acceptability by the farmers while adaptation to biotic stresses determines the survival in the field and in storage (Sthapit *et al.*, 1999; Baidu-Forson, 1997). In India, farmers plant sorghum varieties that are high yielding, good in quality of both grain and fodder, and resistance to biotic and abiotic stresses (Rana *et al*, 2000). In Mali, general interest of farmers is in variety adaptation to general environmental conditions, eating quality, yield and resistance to different biotic stresses. In Tanzania, landraces are unique preferred by farmers due to resistance to both biotic and abiotic stresses, good in storage, processing and nutrition qualities (Medraoui *et al.*, 2007; Mgonja *et al.*, 2005; Kenga *et al.*, 2004; Beta and Corke, 2001). Also, landraces perform well under sub-optimal conditions as they are well adapted to local stresses and possesses farmers' preferable traits (Bantilan *et al.*, 2004; Setimela *et al.*, 2004).

Most families in eastern part of Kenya grow sorghum landraces which are used for making fermented and un-fermented porridge, ugali (thick porridge) and other traditional dishes (Ministry of Agriculture, 2010). The color of the grain of landraces in this study was related to a particular grain use. White and brown white are used in preparation of porridge, *ugali*, *pilau*, *muthura* (mixture of sorghum and legume grains) and baking. Red and red brown are preferred for making porridge, *muthura* and for traditional fermentation. Black red, purple, pink brown,

maroon and pink brown are used in preparation of *muthura* and in fermentation.

Specific sorghum uses impose a positive selection pressure towards a certain trait by farmers (Manzell *et al.*, 2007; Tusekwa *et al.*, 2000). Grain color is recognized as an important consideration in cultivar selection with a greater preference for tan in Ethiopia and white in Mozambique, Zambia, and Zimbabwe as well as parts of Tanzania (Wortmann *et al.*, 2006). Red and brown grain types are preferred in Kenya, Rwanda, Uganda and Western Tanzania. Red and brown grain types are associated with higher tannin content thus preferred less by birds, and are less affected by mold (Wortmann *et al.*, 2006).

CONCLUSION

From this study, it's clear that farmers in eastern region of Kenya maintain a diversity of sorghum landraces by cultural preferences and traditional practices. Farmers maintain landraces that are unique in their adaptation, food quality, grain yield, quality of harvested products, biotic stress resistance and in post-harvest processing. The rich germplasm could be exploited for use in crop improvement programs. And since the region has a high agricultural potential, productivity for better food security could be improved by use of locally available germplasm adapted to this particular environment.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We acknowledge farmers from eastern Kenya region for willing to share with us germplasm and information; and the Ministry of Agriculture office in Embu for allowing us access to the region. This study was funded by the National Council of Science and Technology (NCST), Kenya.

REFERENCES

- Anonymous (1972). Munsell color charts for plant tissues. Munsell color division. Kollmorgen corporation, Baltimore.
- Baidu-Forson J (1997). On-station farmer participatory varietal evaluation: a strategy for client-oriented breeding. *Exp. Agric.*, 33:43-50
- Bantilan MCS, Deb UK, Gowda CLL, Reddy BVS, Obilana AB, Evenson RE (2004). Sorghum genetic enhancement: research process, dissemination and impacts. Patancheru 502 324, Andhra Pradesh, India: International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics.
- Beta T, Corke H (2001). Genetic and environmental variation in sorghum starch properties. *J. of Cer. Sci.*, 34:261-268.
- Clayton WD, Renvoize RD (1982). Poaceae flora of tropical east Africa, part 3. AA Balkema Rotterdam, Netherlands pp: 731.
- de Wet MJM, Harlan JR (1971). The origin and domestication of *Sorghum bicolor*. *Econ. Bot.*, 25:128-135.
- de Wet MJM (1978). Systematics and evolution of *Sorghum* Sect. sorghum (Graminae). *Amer. J. of Bot.*, 65:477.

- Dogget H, Majisu BN (1968). Disruptive selection in crop development. *Heredity* 23:1-23.
- Doggett H (1988). Sorghum. 2nd ed. Tropical Agricultural Series. Longman Scientific, Essex, UK.
- Ejeta J, Grenier C (2005). Sorghum and its weedy hybrids. In: Crop fertility and volunteerism, Gressel J (Eds). CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL. pp. 123-135.
- Engle LM, Altoveros NC (2000). Collection, conservation and utilisation of indigenous vegetables: Asian Vegetable Research and Development Center, Shanhua, Taiwan. pp. 142.
- Food and Agriculture Organization (1996). Report on the State of the World's Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture. Rome, Italy. pp. 75.
- Food and Agriculture Organization (2008). FAOSTAT. <http://faostat.fao.org> accessed in august, 2012.
- Gwanama C, Nichterlein K (1995). Importance of cucurbits to small-scale farmers in Zambia. *Zam. J. of Agric. Sci.*, 5:5-9.
- Hall L, Topinka K, Huffman J, Davis L, Allen A (2000). Pollen flow between herbicide-resistant. *Brassica napus* is the cause of multiple-resistant *B. napus* volunteers. *Weed Sci.*, 48: 688-694.
- Jaetzold R, Schmidt H, Horner B, Shisanya C (2006). Farm Management Handbook of Kenya, Vol. II/C1. Ministry of Agriculture, Kenya and German Agency Technical Cooperation team (CTZ).
- Jaetzold R, Schmidt H (1983). Farm Management Handbook of Kenya, Ministry of Agriculture, Kenya and German Agricultural Team (GAT) of the German Technical Cooperation.
- Javier E, Foreward Q (1993). Plant resources of Southeast Asia No. 8. In: Vegetables Plant Resources of South-East Asia, Siemonsma JS, Piluek K (eds), Bogor, Indonesia, pp. 412.
- Johnston J, Blancas L, Borem A (2004). Gene flow and its consequences. CAB International 2004. Environmental risk assessment of genetically modified organisms; Vol.1. A case study of Bt maize in Kenya, 6: 187-207.
- Kellogg EA (2001). Evolutionary history of the grasses. *Plant Physiology* 125: 1198-1205.
- Kenya Food Security Steering Group (2008). Machakos District Long Rains Assessment Report: 28th July – 1st August.
- Kenya Food Security Steering Group (2008). Kitui District Long Rains Assessment Report: 28th July – 1st August.
- Kimber CT (2000). Origins of domesticated sorghum and its early diffusion into India and China. In: Sorghum: Origin, History, technology and production, Smith WC, Frederiksen RA (eds.), pp:398. John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- Kenga R, Alabi SO, Gupta SC (2004). Combining ability studies in tropical sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench). *Field Crops Res.*, 88:251-260.
- Manzelli M, Luca L, Lacerenza N, Benedettelli S, Vecchio V (2007). Genetic diversity assessment in Somali sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench) accessions using microsatellite markers. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 16:1715-1730.
- Maundu PM, Njiru E, Imungi JK, Seme EN (1999). The biodiversity of traditional leafy vegetables. In: International Plant Genetic Resources Institute Rome, Chweya J, Eyzaguirre PB (eds), Italy.
- Medraoui L, Ater M, Benlhabib O, Msikine D, Filali-Maltouf A (2007). Evaluation of genetic variability of sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench) in northwestern Morocco by ISSR and RAPD markers. *Comptes Rendus Biologies* 330:789-797.
- Meeske R, Ashbell G, Weinberg ZG, Kipnis T (1993). Ensiling forage Sorghum at two stages of maturity with the addition of lactic bacterial inoculants. *Ann. Feed Sci. Techn.* J., 43: 165-175.
- Menz MA, Klein RR, Unruh NC, Rooney WL, Klein PE (2004). Genetic diversity of public inbreds of sorghum determined by mapped AFLP and SSR markers. *Crop Sci. J.*, 44: 1236-1244.
- Ministry of Agriculture (2010). The Annual Report, Crop Development Division.
- Mgonja MA, Chandra S, Gwata ET, Obilana AB, Monyo ES, Rohrbach DD, Chisi M, Kudita S, Saadan HM (2005). Improving the efficiencies of national crop breeding programs through region-based approaches: the case of sorghum and pearl millet in southern Africa. *J. of Food, Agric. and Environ.*, 3:1 2 4 - 1 2 9.
- Muui CW, Muasya RM, Kirubi DT (2013). Baseline survey on factors affecting sorghum production and use in eastern Kenya. *Afri. J. of Food, Agric., Nutr. and Devel.* Vol. 13(1): 7339 -7342.
- Mutegi E, Sagnard F, Semagn K, Deu M, Muraya M, Kanyenji B, de Villiers S, Kiambi D, Herselman L, Labuschagne M (2010). Genetic structure and relationships within and between cultivated and wild sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench) in Kenya as revealed by microsatellite markers. *Theor. and Appl. Gen.* Volume 122, Number 5, 989-1004, DOI:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00122-010-1504-5>.
- Nathaniels NQR, Mwijage A (2000). Seed fairs and the case of Malambo village, Nachingwea district, Tanzania: Implications of local informal seed supply and variety development for research and extension. *Net Work Paper No.* 101. 1-8.
- Rana BS, Kaul SL, Chari A, Prabhakar, Kalyan S, Belum R, Witcombe JR, Virk DS (2000). "Participatory varietal selection in *rabi* sorghum in India". In: the International conference on "Participatory plant breeding and plant genetic research" held at Pokhara, Nepal.
- Rohrbach DD, Mtenga K, Kiriwaggulu JAB, Monyo ES, Mwaisela F, Saadan HM (2002). Comparative study of three community seed supply strategies in Tanzania. International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics. Bulawayo, Zimbabwe.
- Rosenow DT, Dahlberg JA (2000). Collection, conversion and utilization of sorghum. In: Sorghum, Origin, History, Technology and Production, Smith CW, Frederiksen AR (eds.). Wiley Series in Crop Science. New York: John Wiley & Sons pp 309-328
- Sajid M, Bashir A, Ahmad A, Akram Z, Jabeen N, Gulfrazi M (2008). Molecular characterization of regional *Sorghum bicolor* varieties from Pakistan. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 40 (5): 2015-2021.
- Schippers RR (2000). African Indigenous Vegetables. An overview of the cultivated species. Natural resources institute, Chatham, UK.
- Setimela PS, Monyo E, Bänziger M (2004). Successful community-based seed production strategies. Mexico, D.F.: CIMMYT. In: Fingerprinting crop varieties, Smith JSC, Smith OS 1992. *Adv. in Agron.*, 47:85- 140.
- Sthapit BR, Joshi KD, Witcombe JR (1999). Farmer Participatory Crop Improvement. III. Participatory Plant Breeding, A Case Study for Rice in Nepal. *Experimental Agriculture*. 32:479-496. In: Farmer Plant Breeding from a Biological Perspective: Implications for Collaborative Plant Breeding, Cleveland DA, Soleri D, Smith SE (eds). CIMMYT Economics Working Paper No. 10. Mexico, D.F. CIMMYT.
- Swigonova Z, Lai J, Ma J, Ramakrishna W, Llaca V (2004). Close split of sorghum and maize genome progenitors. *Gen. Res.*, 14: 1916-1923.
- Tulole LB, Gwanama C, Mgonja M, Chisi M, Folkertsma R, Mutegi R (2009). Genetic variability characterization of Tanzania sorghum landraces based on simple sequence repeats (SSRs) molecular and morphological markers. *Afri. Crop Sci. J.*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 71 - 86 ISSN 1021-9730/2009, African Crop Science Society.
- Tusekwa AB, Mosha TCE, Laswai HS, Towo EE (2000). Traditional alcoholic beverages of Tanzania: Production, quality and changes in quality attributes during storage. *Intern. J. of Food Sci. and Nutr.* 51:135 – 143.
- Wortmann CS, Xerinda SA, Mamo M (2006). No-till row crop response to starter fertilizer in Eastern Nebraska: II. Rainfed grain sorghum. *Agron. J.* 98(1):187-193.