

Legal Governance Framework for Knowledge Rights in the Digital Age

Dr. Mohammad Ali Khaled Al-Shurman

Assistant Professor of Civil Law, Amman Arab University – Jordan

m.alshorman@aau.edu.jo

Dr. Abdallah Majed Al-Akayleh

Associate Professor of Criminal Law, College of Law, American University in the

Emirates, abdallah.alakayleh@aue.ae, <https://orcid.org/0009-0007-2823-4801>.

Abstract

This study aims to examine the legal governance of knowledge rights in the digital age by analyzing the conceptual and legal framework regulating knowledge rights and assessing the effectiveness of existing legislation in addressing the challenges arising from rapid digital transformations. The study adopts a descriptive-analytical approach to present relevant legal concepts and analyze legislative texts, alongside a comparative approach, where appropriate, to benefit from selected contemporary legal experiences. The findings reveal a significant gap between rapid technological advancement and traditional legal frameworks, as well as the limited effectiveness of enforcing laws related to digital knowledge rights, particularly in the areas of intellectual property and data protection. Additionally, cross-border challenges were identified, necessitating broader international coordination. The results further indicate that legal governance constitutes an essential framework for achieving a balance between protecting knowledge rights and ensuring freedom of access to knowledge. The study recommends the need to update national legislation, enhance international cooperation, regulate the role of digital platforms, and leverage modern technologies to support legal governance mechanisms, in addition to promoting digital legal awareness.

Keywords: Legal governance; knowledge rights; digital age; intellectual property; digital knowledge.

Introduction

The digital era has produced a new paradigm for the creation, transmission and reception of knowledge. As such it has facilitated the emergence of an open-knowledge environment that is not limited geographically or legally. The transition towards a new paradigm in the production, distribution and use of knowledge has given greater value to knowledge as a strategic asset; however, it has also created numerous juridical problems (the protection of knowledge rights; the regulation of the rights associated with those rights; equal access to knowledge).

In response to these challenges, the model of juridical governance was developed. Juridical governance is a normative model that establishes the relationship between the protection of rights related to knowledge on the one side and the free circulation and use of knowledge on the other, so that they are compatible with the demands of the digital age.

Research Problem

The research question is based upon an existing issue, or "gap," that exists as a result of technological advancements in how we can produce and distribute knowledge electronically versus legislative protections concerning the right to know. As such, the legal system has difficulty adapting to new technology, and this creates two types of problems. On one hand it fails to provide adequate legal protection for certain aspects of electronic knowledge; while on the other hand, there are unnecessary limitations placed on individuals who wish to communicate their knowledge electronically. These issues bring into focus basic questions about the ability of legal authority to govern and regulate individual rights to access/know information within the digital era.

Research Objectives

This study aims to:

1. Clarify the conceptual framework of legal governance and knowledge rights in the digital age.
2. Identify the most significant legal challenges facing knowledge rights in the digital age.
3. Assess the efficiency of existing legislation in protecting knowledge rights.
4. Explain how legal governance contributes to achieving a balance between knowledge protection and freedom of access.
5. Propose legal mechanisms to enhance the effectiveness of legal governance of knowledge rights.

Research Questions

The study is guided by the following main question:

To what extent is legal governance effective in protecting and regulating knowledge rights in the digital age?

From this main question, the following sub-questions are derived:

1. What is meant by the legal governance of knowledge rights in the digital context?
2. What are the most significant legal challenges facing knowledge rights in the digital age?
3. What is the role of national and international legislation in regulating digital knowledge rights?
4. How does legal governance contribute to achieving a balance between knowledge protection and freedom of circulation?
5. What mechanisms are proposed to enhance legal governance of knowledge rights in the digital environment?

Significance of the Study

The significance of this study stems from the following aspects:

1. **Scientific significance:** Enriching contemporary legal literature in the field of governance and digital knowledge rights.
2. **Practical significance:** Assisting policymakers and legislators in developing more efficient and flexible legal frameworks.
3. **Societal significance:** Contributing to the establishment of a legal culture that balances the protection of knowledge rights with the right of access to knowledge.

Key Terms

- **Legal governance:** A set of rules, legislation, and institutional mechanisms that regulate legal conduct and ensure transparency, accountability, and justice.
- **Knowledge rights:** Rights related to the production, dissemination, use, and protection of knowledge, including intellectual property rights and the right of access to knowledge.
- **Digital age:** A historical phase characterized by reliance on digital technologies in the production and circulation of information.
- **Digital knowledge:** Knowledge that is produced, stored, or disseminated through digital media and technologies.

Research Methodology

This study adopts a descriptive-analytical approach by describing the legal framework governing knowledge rights in the digital age and analyzing its provisions and legislative texts. In addition, a comparative approach is employed, where appropriate, to examine selected relevant legislative models, with the aim of deriving best legal practices.

Structure of the Study

The study is organized as follows:

- **Section One:** The conceptual and theoretical framework of legal governance and knowledge rights in the digital age.
- **Section Two:** Knowledge rights in the digital age and the associated legal challenges.
- **Section Three:** Legal governance of knowledge rights: reality and applications.
- **Section Four:** Prospects for the development of legal governance of knowledge rights in the digital age.
- **Conclusion:** Key findings and recommendations.

Section One: The Conceptual and Theoretical Framework of Legal Governance and Knowledge Rights in the Digital Age

The shift toward rapid digitization has placed digital knowledge at the center of how we interact socially, economically and politically. Consequently this shift has given rise to new legal issues related to protecting rights for knowledge and regulating the flow of knowledge. Thus it is necessary to establish legal regulatory mechanisms which will facilitate a balance between safeguarding rights and ensuring that individuals have unimpeded access to knowledge throughout the digital environment.

Subsection One: The Concept of Legal Governance

Legal governance can be understood as a structure of legal rules, institutions, and mechanisms for regulatory control to organize relations among individuals/society; and to provide a transparent, accountable and lawful basis for all.

Legal governance has an integrating function in digital age through regulating how technology is utilized in public life and through protecting fundamental rights including right of access to information, privacy and freedom of expression. Studies from recent years conceptualize digital governance as a component of advanced legal-ethical system to regulate the digital dimensions of information and relationships on which it is based (De Gregorio & Radu, 2022).

It also represents the adaptation of legislation to rapid technological developments at national and international levels in order to respect/protect digital rights in comprehensive legal frameworks that support contemporary digital transformations.

Subsection Two: Digital Knowledge and Its Rights in the Digital Age

The definition of digital knowledge relates to all forms of informational material that may be generated, recorded, or distributed digitally. Examples of these forms of digital knowledge include data, information, creative materials, and scientific content. As technology continues to evolve at a rapid pace with regard to its use for digitizing many aspects of society; it is critical that legal definitions related to rights of digital knowledge continue to develop simultaneously with those of other areas of law such as intellectual property rights, privacy laws, and the right to obtain information.

As stated, rights to digital knowledge are intertwined with rights to digital human rights. Rights to digital human rights can be summarized as follows: The right to free expression via digital means, the right to freely distribute information via digital means, and the right to protect one's own personally identifiable data. There exist conflicting legal interpretations of these rights that will necessitate the establishment of legal frameworks capable of balancing individual rights with collective rights (Al-Azzazi, 2024). Rights to digital knowledge also include principles of fairness and equity concerning how individuals can gain access to knowledge. This principle has been highlighted by various global initiatives as well. For example, UNESCO's Internet Universality Principles have identified two fundamental tenets of successful governance in the internet era: Open Access to information and respect for digital rights (Souter & Van der Spuy, 2019).

Subsection Three: The Relationship between Legal Governance and Digital Knowledge Rights

Digital law has many governing areas, as well as the ways it is governed. Data protection, copyright/Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs), and free speech are just a few. Access to information is another area. Studies have shown that Digital Law can be an effective means of protecting one's knowledge rights; both at the State level and internationally via Internet Governance Policy and Digital Human Rights Norms.

Researchers believe that Digital Law includes creating laws and improving enforcement methods to ensure the right to protect your knowledge rights, while minimizing digital violations (Rashwan, 2025).

Furthermore, studies indicate that strengthening digital governance significantly contributes to the protection of digital intellectual property and knowledge rights, particularly through the adoption of advanced regulatory technologies and more stringent oversight strategies, thereby enhancing the effectiveness of legal frameworks in addressing digital infringements and imbalances (Chen & Cao, 2025)

Subsection Four: Elements of Legal Governance of Knowledge Rights in the Digital Age

The principles of legal governance are the basis upon which the protection of intellectual property rights may be established within an electronic or digital context. Legal governance provides a balanced structure by which to protect both the public's right to free access to information, while protecting the rights of individuals and groups. Within the electronic/digital environment, legal governance has evolved from simply creating broad based legal rules to create specific legislative and/or regulatory structures and tools that will enable the regulation of issues including privacy, data protection, and electronic intellectual property. These elements can be outlined as follows:

First: Data Protection and Privacy

Information protection and information privacy can be considered the basic cornerstones of legal regulation in the digital realm. It is necessary to create a law governing the processes of data collection, distribution, and transfer, and, at the same time, to provide for a definition of individual rights regarding their own data and effective protection of their knowledge.

Second: Digital Intellectual Property

The development of intellectual property law should be conducted in such a way that there will be legal recognition of digital works and assets so that rights of the creator cannot be infringed.

Third: Freedom of Access and Circulation

Right of access and circulation of information is one of the basic elements of digital knowledge rights. Therefore, it is necessary to secure facilitation of access to digital information and to respect other rights.

Section Two: Knowledge Rights in the Digital Age and the Associated Legal Challenges

The regulation of knowledge rights in the age of digitalization is associated with several problems in law due to the fact that the sphere of the digital environment is constantly changing, as well as the approach

to creation and distribution of digitalized information. The following part analyzes the influence of the digital revolution on knowledge rights by considering the key legal problems that emerged owing to the spread of digitalization.

Subsection One: Digital Transformation and Its Impact on Knowledge

Digital transformation entails the incorporation of digital technologies in all spheres of social and economic life, resulting in a radical change in the way knowledge is generated and shared. In sectors like education, science, and creativity, the use of digital media has become virtually mandatory, thus creating a new landscape for information and knowledge exchange.

While digital transformation plays an important role in the swift and efficient sharing of knowledge, it also creates a complicated environment filled with legal issues. First, there is a need to come up with regulations that can effectively protect knowledge rights in a non-territorial space and ascertain the obligations of the actors concerned in the generation and utilization of digital knowledge. Additionally, the rise of sharing websites that allow individuals to post content without adhering to any conventional restrictions exacerbates these difficulties (Katileho, 2024).

Subsection Two: Legal Challenges of Digital Knowledge Rights

The legal implications of the rights to the digital knowledge have faced many problems which may prevent it from being used effectively in the current digital era. The fast technological advancement in the field of AI and digitization has made it very tough to establish the rights of intellectual property co-exist with the rights of persons and companies. Furthermore, owing to this fast-paced development, it has become imperative that the new laws are introduced and existing laws updated in order to cope with such changes as well as to deal with cross-border digital crimes. The most prominent legal challenges of digital knowledge rights can be outlined as follows:

First: Intellectual Property Challenges in the Digital Environment

Intellectual property rights protection remains among the biggest issues for the law in the digital era. Technological development and the implementation of artificial intelligence technologies in accessing information and creating content have led to the emergence of unclearness about who should own the intellectual property rights over the content created using AI technologies. Legal disputes and conflicts arise due to this issue in many traditional legal jurisdictions (Abdelghani, 2024).

Digital breaches like piracy, copyright infringement, and illegal content distribution remain a problem for traditional laws. It has resulted in the inadequacy of the legislation and its inability to protect the legal interests of digital creators (Katileho, 2024; Manurung & Simamora, 2025).

Second: Ownership Ambiguity and Artificial Intelligence-Related Issues

The development of AI has brought to light many issues regarding who owns the copyright on work created using an AI system. Whether this is an image, a written text or an artistic piece there are no current

laws that provide clarity in defining ownership rights where an AI was involved in the creation process; thus leaving creators as well as consumers with little legal certainty (Abdelghani, 2024).

Subsection Three: Challenges Related to Privacy and Data Protection

Knowledge protection converges with privacy rights and personal data protection due to the risks that emerge from the gathering, analyzing, and utilization of personal data. In recent years, scholars have stressed the importance of developing constitutional approaches towards ensuring personal data privacy in the larger framework of knowledge rights since the growth in digital surveillance and the processing of data can infringe upon basic rights without proper legal measures in place (Abdallah, 2025).

Subsection Four: Challenges Related to Law Enforcement and Digital Platform Regulation

The implementation of digital knowledge rights laws can be problematic because there is a limited ability to enforce compliance regarding digital content and violations of rights across national borders. Territorial limitations within domestic legal frameworks hinder efforts to deal with violations that occur across multiple jurisdictions unless adequate cooperation exists internationally. In addition, some digital forums do not collaborate with IP law enforcement agencies, adding to the shortcomings of digital rights protection (Katileho, 2024).

Subsection Five: International and Domestic Legislative Challenges

Domestic laws may be inadequate to protect digital knowledge rights effectively, especially when there are no common international legal standards for their protection. The TRIPS agreement is one example of an international agreement on intellectual property rights, however it needs to be updated to reflect changing conditions resulting from digitalization. Therefore, many see this as a necessary update to protect the rights to knowledge globally.

Katileho (2024) further identifies two major obstacles for legislators with regard to protecting digital knowledge. Katileho notes that the lack of uniformity in law among countries makes the creation of effective cross-national legal solutions difficult.

Section Three: Legal Governance of Knowledge Rights – Reality and Applications

In this fast changing technological environment it is now very important to examine both the current legal structure that protects digital knowledge rights and what are the mechanisms through which a legal regulatory model can be implemented to protect them. This chapter will focus on legislative and institutional models for protecting digital rights, as well as practical models of how the legal governance structures work and whether they adequately address new issues.

Subsection One: The National and International Legislative Framework for Digital Knowledge Rights

The regulation and protection of digital knowledge rights is greatly enhanced through both national and international legislation. International agreements including TRIPS represent an important framework for the protection of intellectual property. However, the digital age presents significant challenges for the effective application of international agreements regarding the digital piracy of and cross-border transmission of unauthorized works (Vishwakarma et al., 2024).

Research recently published has indicated that traditional legal frameworks are insufficient to deal with the complexities presented by digitalization. In response to this challenge, there has been increasing calls for the establishment of harmonized international standards governing the protection of digital rights in order to enhance the legal governance of digital environments where multiple types of data are transmitted via various platforms (Katleho, 2024).

In addition to international law, individual nations have implemented legislation designed to protect individuals' personal data and digital rights. While such laws exist, they vary significantly in terms of enforceability and regulatory power. This creates a need for increased state-to-state legislative coordination to provide for adequate international protections of digital knowledge rights.

Subsection Two: Mechanisms for Implementing Legal Governance of Knowledge Rights

Among the most prominent mechanisms for implementing legal governance of knowledge rights are the following:

First: Judicial Justice and Enforcement of Knowledge Rights

Judicial systems play a very important function in defending the rights to digital knowledge by enforcing digital law regarding data privacy and intellectual property. Some countries have also created specialized courts to address digital intellectual property litigation issues, which helps to speed up digital dispute resolution processes. Research has shown that judicial support enhances digital innovations because it allows businesses and creators to protect themselves from threats to their rights (Zheng et al., 2023). Yet there are still major obstacles in cross border enforcement due to differing definitions and methodologies used within each jurisdiction's legal systems to define rights and methods of protection. Therefore, cross border prosecutions involving digital crimes will require cooperation between the executive and legislative branches of government at the state level.

Second: Governance through Digital Platforms and the Private Sector

Digital major platforms have become key players in ensuring that legal regulation regarding the use of knowledge rights are implemented via the terms of use agreements for users and technical protection methods such as DRM (digital rights management), both of which serve as means of regulating usage. The platforms regulate usage by providing content monitoring and resolving disputes related to content use, while simultaneously attempting to achieve a balance between free publication and protecting intellectual property. Platforms thus provide a type of self-regulation mechanism for regulating the flow of information on the Internet (Ubaydullaeva, 2024).

The platform model however has come under significant scrutiny. On one hand they can enforce excessively stringent levels of protection thereby limiting individuals' ability to freely access knowledge. Alternatively, enforcement models may be insufficiently developed to address sophisticated technological

misuse. This necessitates the establishment of cooperative regulatory systems involving both government agencies and digital platforms.

Subsection Three: Institutional and Enforcement Challenges in Legal Governance

The passage of laws on the use of digital knowledge provides the first level of protection for digital knowledge rights. However, many legal/implementation barriers exist to the practical application of these laws and regulations.

First, there is an absence of coordination among all levels of government and law-enforcing agencies. Rashwan (2025) noted that much current law fails to keep pace with changing technology; therefore, law cannot adequately protect against modern violations of digital rights.

Second, law-enforcing agencies have little-to-no technical capability to interpret and/or process the large amounts of data required by new technologies as part of their responsibility to enforce digital rights. Judicial systems are unable to interpret and process this data.

Third, the lack of national, and international, uniformity regarding regulation of data and content rights as they apply to digital economies creates unequal legal environments throughout the world (Digital Government Authority, 2025). Therefore, it will be necessary for some form of global initiative to provide a mechanism for governments to create a common framework for regulating digital rights.

Subsection Four: Models and Practical Applications in Digital Legal Governance

To create enhanced digital legal governance there is a variety of practical approaches. These include:

1. Advanced technologies used in conjunction with law. Examples of these are blockchain and digital verification systems for content. The above-mentioned technologies enable content authentication by linking it to an authenticatable standard(s), thus enabling legal verification. Research shows that they also offer improved protections for digital Intellectual Property Rights.
2. Cooperation among judicial bodies across national borders to exchange information and experience about best practices for implementing digital laws to reduce the digital legislation enforcement gap between countries.

Section Four: Prospects for the Development of Legal Governance of Knowledge Rights in the Digital Age

Following on from a number of areas that have been covered so far regarding the legal framework and the difficulties associated with the legal governance of knowledge rights in the digital environment, there is now an obligation to proceed toward possible ways of developing and modernizing laws to reflect developments in the digital sphere. The purpose of this section is to review potential methods, models or mechanisms for improving the performance of legal governance and to ensure that knowledge rights are effectively protected in a fair and sustainable manner through legislation, government agencies and institutions.

Subsection One: The Need to Update Legislative Frameworks

A major finding in current legal study is that there are limitations to the effectiveness of traditional law-making for dealing with the complex issues raised by a digitally advanced society, especially in areas like intellectual property; data; and cross-border digital services. Some authors have emphasized the need for adaptable legal structures which can continually evolve to accommodate rapid technological changes and do not rely on static legal codes which will quickly be outdated (Rashwan, 2025).

Updating statutory law involves incorporating principles from both digital human rights; data protection; and traditional IP laws into coherent statutes that provide a framework for newer technologies such as AI and Blockchain. Updating statutory law also requires an engagement of scientific/technical disciplines in the development of statutory law as opposed to merely allowing statutory law to be developed through existing legislative institutions.

Subsection Two: Strengthening International Cooperation to Harmonize Legal Standards

A lack of coordination in the protection of digital knowledge rights across different national legal systems will continue to impede international cooperation in protecting those rights, since there are large gaps in legislation regarding their enforcement. It would appear necessary therefore to establish an international framework for coordinating the development of national laws and to revise agreements currently in force (such as the TRIPS agreement) and similar treaties so as to provide clear language on the rights of digital producers and the obligations placed upon companies that operate the world's largest digital networks. There has been significant interest expressed by both academia and practicing attorneys in exchanging ideas on how to improve IP protections and create best practices for all countries. Evidence of this can be seen through the holding of international meetings and conferences, which attract public policy makers and academics, who discuss IP protections with respect to developing technologies (The Fourth Arab Conference on Intellectual Property, 2025).

Subsection Three: Developing Mechanisms for the Enforcement of Digital Laws

Cross-border enforcement is an important challenge for digital legal governance; in addition to cross-border cases, the same issue relates to all of those issues which require multilateral cooperation frameworks and joint enforcement mechanisms among states such as data exchange, mutual recognition of judicial decisions and cross border execution of judicial decisions.

In addition to the above, international organizations and multilateral institutions can also play a critical role in enhancing shared interests through implementation protocols that protect the rights of users as well as creators.

Subsection Four: Integrating Technology into the Implementation of Legal Governance

The relationship between governance in law and innovations in technology is one that will have no separation in the years ahead. Blockchain and smart contracts are two technologies that allow for

increased visibility of digital transactions, and to track ownership (i.e., who has what), and to record your digital rights with resistance to tampering.

Technological advancements in governance, whether at the federal or local level, can assist authorities in monitoring digital IPR's (intellectual property rights) more effectively; provide evidence of the originality of a piece of digital content; and facilitate quicker and more transparent means for enforcing those rights.

In addition, by incorporating technology into the governance of laws, it also assists in eliminating administrative corruption on digital platforms and strengthens self-governance on these same platforms, which all contribute to creating an environment where trust and security exist for sharing information digitally.

Subsection Five: Promoting Digital Legal Education and Awareness

Legal governance of the Internet goes beyond the development of legislative tools and public institutions and involves creating a legal digital culture by raising awareness and providing training among several stakeholders, such as private citizens, artists, businesses, and lawmakers. Promoting the understanding of the importance of knowledge rights on the Internet can contribute to greater compliance with applicable laws and fewer unintended breaches of those laws.

The most significant barrier to implementing effective Internet governance policies is likely the lack of comprehension of the legal goals of Internet transformation; therefore, there is an increasing demand for specialized educational courses related to the subject (Elawad & Hakim, 2024).

Conclusion

First: Findings

The study reached a set of scientific findings, which can be summarized as follows:

1. The study shows, legal regulation is the best and most effective way of dealing with digital rights because it includes important elements like transparency, accountability and rule of law. Yet, as stated previously, there are still major shortcomings in the implementation of these regulations on both the legislative and enforcement side of the legal system.
2. A wide disparity exists between the rapidly developing technology regarding the creation, distribution and utilization of digital products and services, and the traditional laws governing those rights, which do not take into account the specifics of the digital environment. As a result, the ability of these laws to protect digital rights is greatly diminished.
3. Digital intellectual property rights face great challenges. Digital piracy; the relative ease with which digital copies can be made and distributed; and difficulties in identifying who should bear liability in a digital space (particularly when using artificial intelligence to create content) represent the three primary challenges facing digital intellectual property rights.
4. Digital platform providers are exerting an indirect form of regulatory influence by virtue of their terms of service provisions and their practices of managing content. Nonetheless, absent strong legal oversight, their role is limited in ensuring freedom of access to information/knowledge.
5. One of the biggest barriers to establishing effective digital legal governance across borders is weak cross border enforcement mechanisms. The reason for this barrier is largely a function of differing

national legislation and varying degrees of international cooperation. As a consequence, the degree to which digital knowledge rights can be protected globally is severely limited.

6. Aspects of data protection and digital privacy directly affect digital rights. Because data collection and processing have become increasingly prevalent without adequate legal protections, the violation of an individual's fundamental rights is possible.
7. Ultimately, as identified by the study, the development of legal governance must occur in conjunction with technological developments and awareness developments. If digital legal awareness develops slowly or inadequately among individuals and institutions, then the efficacy of legal rules will ultimately be reduced to the same extent.

Second: Recommendations

In light of the study's findings, the researcher recommends the following:

1. The requirement for updating national law on knowledge rights so they are compatible with the needs of the digital era and incorporate digital human rights, data protection and AI into an integrated legal system.
2. Strengthening international and regional co-operation by developing new international agreements that address the digital knowledge rights issues, and establishing common legal standards for IP and digital data which would help combat cross border violations.
3. Establishing or supporting specialist courts for dealing with digital cases and giving them sufficient technical and legal training to enable them to settle the digital knowledge rights disputes quickly.
4. Developing legal systems that regulate the function of digital platforms (e.g. Google etc.) so that there are clear obligations regarding protecting knowledge rights and maintaining transparency in their content management procedures, but also ensuring that free speech is maintained and that people have continued access to information.
5. Using advanced technology to improve the regulation of laws, i.e. using blockchain and smart contracts to register and track digital rights and verify who owns the IP rights in the digital world.
6. Providing additional educational opportunities for individuals and institutions about digital laws and regulations including raising awareness of the knowledge rights and responsibilities and reducing accidental breaches of those rights.
7. Encouraging scientific research and specialized legal studies in the field of governance and digital knowledge rights, particularly comparative studies that draw on leading international experiences.

References

- Abdallah, G. (2025). Constitutional challenges to protecting digital privacy. *Modern Journal of Legal Studies*, 3(1), 204–231. <https://doi.org/10.70411/MJLS.3.1.2025149>
- Abdelghani, K. S. H. (2024). Legal challenges for intellectual property rights in the age of artificial intelligence. *Ruh Al-Qanun*, 36(108), 749–838. <https://doi.org/10.21608/las.2024.311093.1234>
- Al-Azzazi, N. M. K. I. (2024). Digital human rights. *Al-Majalla Al-Qanuniyya*, 19(1), 685–728. <https://doi.org/10.21608/jlaw.2024.341250>

- Chen, X., & Cao, Y. (2025). Digital governance, financial support, and intellectual property protection transformation. *Finance Research Letters*, 85(Part E), 108250. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2025.108250>
- De Gregorio, G., & Radu, R. (2022). Digital constitutionalism in the new era of internet governance. *International Journal of Law and Information Technology*, 30(1), 68–87. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ijlit/eaac004>
- Digital Government Authority. (2025). *Regulatory framework for digital government operations*. Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. <https://www.dga.gov.sa>
- Elawad, B., & Hakim, E. (2024). The reality of digital governance and its implementation challenges. *Journal of Pedagogical and University Development*, 10(1). <https://doi.org/10.21608/JPUD.2024.302689.1236>
- Fourth Arab Conference on Intellectual Property. (2025, February 23–24). *Protection of intellectual property rights in the era of digital technologies* [Conference summary]. <https://arado.org/Activity/Details?Id=543789>
- Katleho, A. (2024). The evolution of intellectual property rights in the digital age. *Journal of Modern Law and Policy*, 4(2), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.47941/jmlp.2158>
- Manurung, O. P. M., & Simamora, J. (2025). Protecting intellectual property rights in the digital revolution: Ministry of law's role reviewed. *Journal of Customary Law*, 2(2). <https://doi.org/10.47134/jcl.v2i2.3676>
- Rashwan, F. (2025). Legal challenges of digital governance in public institutions: An analytical study in light of national and international legislation. *Majallat Al-Qanun wa Al-Dirasat Al-Ijtima'yya*, 4(1), 287–347. <https://doi.org/10.21608/mqss.2025.415160>
- Souter, D., & Van der Spuy, A. (2019). *UNESCO's internet universality indicators: A framework for assessing internet development*. UNESCO Publishing.
- Ubaydullaeva, A. (2024). Rights to digital databases. *International Journal of Law and Policy*, 2(1). <https://doi.org/10.59022/ijlp.151>
- Vishwakarma, S., Golait, R., Bhardwaj, A., & Pippal, P. (2024). *Intellectual property rights in the digital age*. IISPPR. <https://iisppr.org.in/intellectual-property-rights-in-the-digital-age/>
- Zheng, P., Li, Z., & Zhuang, Z. (2023). The impact of judicial protection of intellectual property on digital innovation: Evidence from China. *Finance Research Letters*, 58(Part A), 104257. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2023.104257>
- Abu Dalou, M. W. M., Al-qaisi, A. H., Al Maagbeh, M. M. F., Elfawair, O. T. A., Ramadin, M. A. M., Alqaisi, S. A. A., Altarawneh, S. M. D., E'layan, M. K., & Alayaydeh, H. A. S. (2026). The impact of constitutional amendments on entrenching the right to public health. *Natural Resources for Human Health*, 6(5s), 46–59. <https://nrfhh.com>
- Al Maagbeh, M. M. F., Altarawneh, M. M., E'layan, M. K., Al Dalaïen, A. A., Alayaydeh, H. A. S., Al-Tarawneh, D. I., Baniamer, A., Alhendi, N., Altarawneh, S. M. D., & Alhusban, O. A. K. K. (2026b). The

- role of artificial intelligence technologies in protecting the environment and enhancing its sustainability. *Research Journal in Advanced Humanities*, 7(3). <https://doi.org/10.58256/7dcy5w77>
- Al Maagbeh, M. M. F., Altarawneh, S. M. D., Alhendi, N., Al-Tarawneh, D. I., Al Okour, O. S. A., Al-Thbeitat, A. S. A., Aloudat, B. A. A., & Alayaydeh, H. A. S. (2026). The right to withdraw the work from circulation in the interactive digital novel. *Journal of Intelligent Decision Making and Information Science*, 3(6s), 1301–1312. <https://doi.org/10.59543/jidmis.v3.1500>
- Al Taani A. (2026). The Role of Political Parties in Promoting Political Participation in Jordan (1989-2024). *Jordanian Journal of Law and Political Science*, 18(1). <https://doi.org/10.35682/jjlps.v18i1.1427>
- Alayaydeh, H. A. S., E'layan, M. K., Altarawneh, S. M. D., Al-Hobabseh, W. I., Alkhatatneh, A. A. S., & others. (2026b). Project management of major construction projects in Jordan between contractual standardization and dispute reality: An empirical assessment of FIDIC contracts. *Journal of Intelligent Decision Making and Information Science*, 3(4s), Article 1152. <https://doi.org/10.59543/jidmis.v3.1152>
- Alayaydeh, H. A. S., E'layan, M. K., Zahedah, J. Y. S., Altarawneh, S. M. D., AL-Hobabseh, W. I., Aldaggag, A. A. E., & Al Hadad, L. M. Y. (2026). FIDIC contracts, risk allocation, and sustainability in international construction: Comparative lessons from mega projects. *Journal of Intelligent Decision Making and Information Science*, 3(4s) <https://doi.org/10.59543/jidmis.v3.1145>
- Al-Hobabseh, W. I., Alayaydeh, H. A. S., Sweilmieen, B. M. R., Al-Dabbas, N. A., Alabdallat, F. M., & others. (2026). civil protection against misleading advertisements via influencers on social media platforms: A comparative study. *Journal of Intelligent Decision Making and Information Science*, 3(1), Article 1151. <https://doi.org/10.59543/jidmis.v3.1151>
- Al-Hussein, S. M. S., Hmaidan, R. M., Azzeh, S. B. N., Bashabsheh, Z. M. F., Alsubehat, E. A. S., Heif, M. K., Altarawneh, S. M. D., E'layan, M. K., Aladaseen, M. A., & Alayaydeh, H. A. S. (2026). Civil liability for environmental damages arising from the use of artificial intelligence technologies in fertilizers and pesticides: An analytical comparative study. *Natural Resources for Human Health*, 6(5s), 27–45. <https://nrfhh.com>
- AL-MAAITEH B. (2026). The effects of mortgaging moral movables for the mortgagor in Jordanian law: a comparative study. *Jordanian Journal of Law and Political Science*, 18(1). <https://doi.org/10.35682/jjlps.v18i1.1456>
- Altarawneh, D., Meeush, S., Alabdallat, F. M., Saleh, N. A. H., Maagbeh, M. M. F. A., E'layan, M. K., & Alayaydeh, H. A. S. (2026). Civil protection of intellectual property rights against infringements arising from the deployment of artificial intelligence systems: A comparative legal analysis. *Social Science Forum*, 10(8), 891–909. <https://doi.org/10.10118/SSFJ/2610849>
- Altarawneh, D., Meeush, S., Tarawneh, S. Y. S., Saraireh, R. M. Y., Aljaafari, M., E'layan, M. K., Alabdallat, F. M., & Alayaydeh, H. A. S. (2026). The impact of engineering management on the establishment of strict product liability and the legal defenses available against AI-based smart products. *Social Science Forum*, 10(8), 1047–1070. <https://doi.org/10.10118/SSFJ/2610861>

- Althnaibat, O. H. A., Alayaydeh, H. A. S., Abu Hazeem, M. S., Al Atiyat, M. M., Al Tarawneh, R. Y., & Abueid, A. Q. M. (2025a). The digital historian: Between civic responsibility and ethical obligation: A comparative study. *Journal of Human Security*, 21(2), 181–187. <https://doi.org/10.12924/johs2025.210227>
- Althnaibat, O. H. A., Kasasbeh, H. A. M., Ghazleh, A. M. A., Abueid, A. Q. M., Roudan, S. M. K., & others. (2026b). Insurance against medical errors resulting from cosmetic laser procedures. *European Journal of Prosthodontics and Restorative Dentistry*, 34(4s), 1450. <https://doi.org/10.1922/ejprd.v34i4s.1450>
- Hazeem, M. S. A., Altarawneh, S. M. D., Alayaydeh, H. A. S., Aldabouby, R. M. F., Al-Dabbas, N. A., & others. (2026). the legal framework of deferred payment contracts via electronic applications and the scope of central bank supervision: A comparative study between Jordanian and French legislation. *Journal of Intelligent Decision Making and Information Science*, 3(1), Article 1153. <https://doi.org/10.59543/jidmis.v3.1153>